

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

Jérôme Ciavatti

Application No.

10/044,307

Filed

October 26, 2001

For

DRAM BIT LINES

Examiner

David Nhu

Art Unit

2818

Docket No.

859063.502

Date

March 28, 2003

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents:

In response to the Election of Species Requirement dated February 28, 2003, applicant hereby elects with traverse Species I, claims 1-8, for examination at this time.¹

The Examiner has restricted the claims of the application into three groups as being directed to three distinct species. Applicant is unable to grasp the basis for the Examiner's restriction.

The applicant respectfully submits that the Election of Species Requirement is improper. The Examiner has not pointed to distinct species of the invention as disclosed. "The general test as to when claims are restricted, respectively, to different species is the fact that one claim recites limitations which under the disclosure are found in a first species but not in a second, while a second claim recites limitations disclosed only for the second species but not the first." (Section 806.04(f)) Applicant asserts that the Examiner has failed to reasonably meet at least these requirements, and thus the Election of Species Requirement is inappropriate.

The Examiner noted that claims 1-17 are directed to Species I, but claims 9-17 have already been canceled.

All of the pending claims are directed to the same disclosed species, which is shown in Figures 3A-5. Figures 3A-5 are all directed to one embodiment of the invention. Figures 3A-3D depict cross-sections, along line A-A of Figure 1, during a series of steps according to the embodiment of the invention shown in Figures 3A-3D. Figures 4 and 5 depict cross-sections along lines B-B and C-C of Figure 1, respectively, upon completion of the method shown in Figures 3A-3D. There simply is no other distinct embodiment shown in the Figures. All of the pending claims read on the embodiment shown in Figures 3A-5.

The applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner has confused the varying claim scope of the pending claims, which is appropriate in any patent, with the disclosure of alternative species. As cautioned in section 806.04(e): "Claims are definitions of inventions. Claims are never species. ... Species are always the specifically different embodiments." Thus, unless the Examiner can point to specifically different embodiments of the invention disclosed in the application, the Election of Species Requirement is not proper.

Even if the focus on the differing language of the pending claims were proper, the distinctions mentioned by the Examiner would still be insufficient to support an Election Requirement. For example, the Examiner cited Species I, claims 1-8, as being directed to "a method for manufacturing a DRAM cell including two active word lines having common drain/source regions contacting two memory points." Claim 1 actually recites that distinct source/drain regions, rather than the common drain/source region, contact the memory points. Similarly, claim 18 of Species II recites: "forming two active word lines adjacent to opposite sides of a common drain source region and adjacent to distinct source/drain regions contacting two memory points." The applicant is unable to see how those similar recitations describe two distinct species or embodiments of the invention. The same goes for the similar language of claim 20 of Species III.

With respect to Species III, the Examiner has cited the following as being exclusive to Species III: "A method of manufacturing a memory device forming insulating trenches in a semiconductor substrate having an active region delineated by the insulating trenches, and forming a plurality of insulating layers over the word lines being selectively etchable." However, claim 18 of Species II recites: "forming insulating trenches on opposite sides of an active region of a substrate; covering, at least partially, the four word lines, the

insulating trenches, and the substrate with a multiple-layer having three insulating layers, formed of first and third layers deposited over the entire structure, and of a second layer removed from the active region, except above the word lines, and being made of a material selectively etchable with respect to the first and third layers." The differences in claim language between claims 18 and 20 do not evidence any distinct embodiments or species of the invention.

For the foregoing reasons, the Election of Species Requirement is improper, and thus, the applicant respectfully requests that it be reconsidered and withdrawn.

In view of the above remarks, applicant hereby requests that all pending claims 1-8 and 18-25 be examined together.

Respectfully submitted,

Jérôme Ciavatti

Seed Intellectual Property Law Group PLLC

Harold H. Bennett II

Registration No. 52,404

HHB:jl

Enclosure:

Postcard

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6300 Seattle, Washington 98104-7092

Phone: (206) 622-4900 Fax: (206) 682-6031

369596_1

03-31-5

IL NO. EV207701224US

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

ease type a plus sign (+) inside this box —> [+]

FORM

the required to respond to a collection of information diffess it displays a valid Olivia control hamber.				
10/044,307				
October 26, 2001				
Jérôme Ciavatti				
2818				
David Nhu				
859063.502				

19	FORM		Filing Date	Oc	tober 26, 2001	.	
4			First Named Inventor	Jé	rôme Ciavatti		
	(To be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Group Art Unit	28	rôme Ciavatti 18 Ivid Nhu 9063.502		
			Examiner Name	Da	vid Nhu	20	
			Attorney Docket No.	85	9063.502		
	Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Response After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement; Form PTO-1449 Cited References Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts under 37 C.F.R. 1.52 or 1.53 Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application	As (for property of property o	URES (check all that application) rawing(s) equest for Corrected Filing eccipt censing-related Papers etition etition to Convert to a rovisional Application ower of Attorney, evocation, Change of orrespondence Address eclaration atement under 37 CFR 73(b) erminal Disclaimer mall Entity Statement equest for Refund		CD(s), Number of CD(s) After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Return Receipt Postcard Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below): sponse to Restriction quirement	PECELLED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	
Remarks							
OLONATURE OF ARRIVANT ATTORNEY OR A CTUE							
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Individual Name Harold H. Bennett II							

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Individual Name	Harold H. Bennett II Reg. No. 52,404	00500			
	1	PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE			
Signature	/ - / - / - / - / - / - / - / - / - / -				
Date	March 28, 2003				

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on the date specified below.						
Typed or printed name						
Signature	Date:					
200500 4						

369598_1